LCAP Year		2018–19	2019–20
-----------	--	---------	---------

# Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update (LCAP) Template

Addendum: General instructions & regulatory requirements.

Appendix A: Priorities 5 and 6 Rate Calculations

Appendix B: Guiding Questions: Use as prompts (not limits)

LCFF Evaluation Rubrics [Note: this text will be hyperlinked to the LCFF Evaluation Rubric web page when it becomes available.]: Essential data to support completion of this LCAP. Please analyze the LEA's full data set; specific links to the rubrics are also provided within the template.

**LEA Name** 

Rocketship Si Se Puede

Contact Name and Title

Principal Heidy Shinn

Email and Phone

hshinn@rsed.org 408-824-5180

# **2017-20 Plan Summary**

### THE STORY

Briefly describe the students and community and how the LEA serves them.

Rocketship Si Se Puede opened in August 2009, the second Rocketship campus to open in San Jose. The campus serves K-5 students.

Rocketship Si Se Puede operates a highly **personalized educational** model to serve the unique needs of our students. At Rocketship, personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. Given the majority FRL and EL population, Rocketship Si Se Puede' instructional program is built around ELD principles and recognizes incoming students may be several grade levels behind. As a result, all teachers are trained in Guided Language Acquisition Design, small group instruction and differentiation to meet the needs of all students in their classrooms.

Rocketship Si Se Puede also operated under the principles that **excellent teachers and leaders** create transformational schools and **engaged parents** are essential in eliminating the achievement gap. To these ends, Rocketship invests deeply in training and development to ensure teachers and leaders have a profound impact on students and communities and Rocketship parents are actively engaged in our schools, from reading in the classroom to chaperoning field trips. We also develop parent leaders in our schools and communities to become powerful advocates for their children. More details regarding how we implement personalized learning, teacher development and parent engagement follow.

Rocketship Si Se Puede Fast Facts:						
Enrollment	508					
FRL Population	89.80%					
EL Population	55.10%					
Special Education Population	6.90%					

Population by Ethnicity:

Asian: 9.4%

African-American: 0.4%

Hispanic: 86.8% White: 0.4% Other: 3%

All Rocketship campuses share four core values-- respect, responsibility, empathy and persistence—and develop a fifth core value as a community. At RSSP this fifth core value is healthy choices. At Rocketship Sí Se Puede, our students and their families are committed to making healthy choices for their bodies and minds. We supplement our students' learning by exposing them to concepts of food system sustainability, various forms of physical exercise, growing plants, and visiting the nearby community garden.

### **LCAP HIGHLIGHTS**

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

The greatest progress at Rocketship Si Se Puede this year is increased safety on campus, academic growth, particularly ELs and Special Education students, and building a strong community. Si Se Puede identified reducing suspensions and increasing ELA as two areas of concern that are addressed in the LCAP. Suspensions will be addressed through new social-emotional curriculum, as well as a bullying-prevention initiative. To increase ELA results, RSSP has formed a partnership with a local library to bring truck on campus. This will help encourage students to foster a love of reading. We will also focus on increasing our tutoring and academic rigor.

Because the Governor's May Revise was less conservative than we initially thought, Principal Shinn will have \$30,000 discretionary budget to use in a manner that will best service her students toward reaching the goals below. Principal Shinn and Rocketship staff will review the LCAP and consult with stakeholders to identify an area that would best serve their unduplicated students.

### **REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE**

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

RSSP made significant progress this year across the board. The school's greatest progresses, as noted by the SSC and Principal Shinn are:

- Increased safety on campus
- Academic growth of all students, but particularly special education and EL students

# **GREATEST PROGRESS**

- Community. Creating a really strong school community was one of the greatest progresses at RSSP this year. Principal Shinn created a monthly theme with Special Fridays. During these days, there is a whole school activity centered on that theme. There is also a Monday to celebrate all birthdays for the month when parents bring in treats for their classes. This has helped with attendance and has also become a tradition in the school. Other community building and service activities included neighborhood clean-up, cards for nursing homes, reusable bags with a local marketplace.
- RSSP was reauthorized for five more years by the SCCOE board in the fall.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the LEA has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

### GREATEST NEEDS

In using the Dashboard, RSSP's greatest needs are reducing suspension rates and increasing ELA results (both currently yellow). Currently the school's ADA is a little over 95% (95.3%) and a reach goal is to get 96% ADA.

RSSP has many initiatives for attendance planned. These include a free dress day for perfect attendance for one month, building conversations with parents about attendance into home visits, and reiterating the importance of attendance on back to school night.

For suspensions, RSSP will continue to hold professional development sessions for school staff regarding de-escalation strategies for when students are in conflict. We will also provide resources to parents for students who are struggling with behavior. Finally, we will bring in Seneca Services for the first half of the year to help start the year off strong in terms of culture and behavior management.

Finally, to increase ELA results, RSSP has formed a partnership with a local library to bring truck on campus. This will help encourage students to foster a love of reading. We will also focus on increasing our tutoring and academic rigor.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these performance gaps?

s these performance	gaps?	
	No performance gaps.	

# PERFORMANCE GAPS

### **INCREASED OR IMPROVED SERVICES**

If not previously addressed, identify the two to three most significant ways that the LEA will increase or improve services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.

The three most significant ways that Rocketship Si Se Puede improves services for our unduplicated pupils are through our Personalized Learning Program, our intensive teacher professional development and coaching, and our commitment to involving parents in their student's education. Personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. This is especially helpful for our unduplicated students who may have very different needs from their peer students. Every week, all teachers receive at least four hours of support outside the classroom, are observed by Assistant Principals at least twice, receive at least two hours of professional development, and have a one-on-one meeting with their coaches. This helps our teachers better understand how to meet the needs of their students, particularly EL, Foster Youth and low-income students. Finally, Rocketship parents are actively engaged in our schools, from reading in the classroom to chaperoning field trips. We also develop parent leaders in our schools and communities to become powerful advocates for their children. These are opportunities that parents of EL and low income students are not always afforded, and research shows that students with involved parents do better in school.

### **BUDGET SUMMARY**

Complete the table below. LEAs may include additional information or more detail, including graphics.

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total General Fund Budget Expenditures for LCAP Year	\$6,032,337
Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year	\$1,706,015

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool but may not describe all General Fund Budget Expenditures. Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year not included in the LCAP.

Facility lease expense, management fee, authorizer fee, general operating services (i.e. telecommunications, utilities), administrative costs (i.e. audit, software), food service, teacher base compensation, school leader base compensation

\$ 4,527,991 Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year

# **Annual Update**

LCAP Year Reviewed: 2016–17

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal
1

Improve Rocketeers' proficiency in key content areas, overall and for key subgroups

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	⊠ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10	)					
LOCAL								

### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

- 1. Reclassification rate: Increase from 7.8% to 8.8%
- 2. Progress on CELDT: Maintain above 80%
- 3. CAASPP Proficiency Rates

	Y1 - 2016-17					
	ELA M S					
CAASPP Overall	32	46	20			
CAASPP EL	23	30	9			
CAASPP SPED	9	16	2			
CAASPP SED	30	45	22			

Data not yet available

### **ACTIONS / SERVICES**

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

Actions/Services

Expenditures

### PLANNED

### **Common Core-aligned instruction & materials**

A-1. The Rocketship Si Se Puede curriculum follows the California adoption of the Common Core State Standards ("CCSS") for the subject areas of: English/Language Arts (includes Writing), and Mathematics, as well as the state standards for Social Studies, Art and Music and the Next Generation Science Standards. Rocketship has established ELA and Math focus standards – the most rigorous CCSS at each grade level – as the most important markers of success in order to prioritize the focus of instruction while also ensuring that all grade-level standards are addressed in every course. Rocketship Si Se Puede operates an inclusion model and therefore this core curriculum will benefit all students including Special Education students. Rocketship teachers will use the ELL framework to embed analytical tasks, receptive tasks and productive language functions into the curriculum to aid language acquisition.

Rocketship Si Se Puede utilizes a Balanced Literacy approach for ELA instruction with a significant focus on Guided Reading. Expanding the breadth and depth of our classroom libraries will ensure that all students have access to a wide variety of texts to meet the different genre requirements in Common Core, as well as ensuring that all students have access to books at their appropriate reading level. For EL students, this can be particularly motivating and a useful strategy to engage reluctant or struggling readers. These expanded libraries will also enable us to loan out books for students to take home, so that parents can support reading and language acquisition efforts at home.

### **ACTUAL**

RSSP used Core Curriculum budget to bolster math curriculum materials, socio-emotional Kimochi materials, and literacy resources. These benefit all students, including EL and special education because of the inclusion model run in our schools. Additionally, we are aligning our curriculum with NGSS and encompass the three Disciplinary Core Ideas of the NGSS, physical sciences, life sciences, and earth science. We also administer NGSS-aligned unit assessments.

We integrate science instruction throughout various mediums so that skills that can be applied to subject matter at any time (i.e. recording observations, reading maps, using timelines). By teaching these core subjects in various modalities, we're helping all students, including special education and English Learners master the concepts via the method they respond to best. RSSP has science as an Enrichment Class, where students are able to see their science skills applied to real life situations.

Social Studies is also integrated into humanities blocks. All students are exposed to social studies concepts, particularly by working to master non-fiction texts.

### BUDGETED

\$24,000 (4100) Core Curriculum LCFF-base

\$18,700 (4210) Books

LCFF-S+C

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

\$13,533 (4100) Core Curriculum LCFF-base

\$27,171 (4210) Books

LCFF-S+C

Action

Actions/Services

### **PLANNED**

### **Personalized Learning**

A-2. RSSP's key instructional practices include personalization, blended learning, data-driven instruction, Response to Intervention and teacher specialization. The specific investments for Rtl include Rtl curriculum and tutors. Our objective every day is to get the right lesson to the right child at the right time. We assume that every child, especially the children in the neighborhoods we serve, will have unique learning needs that must be addressed individually. The Rocketship model combines traditional classroom instruction with blended learning, which enables online learning programs technology, small group instruction and tutoring. All students, including our Special Education students, access and benefit from this instructional model as Rocketship Si Se Puede operates an inclusion model. In particular, our Special Education students benefits from our Rtl model in which they receive additional Tier II and Tier III tutoring from the general education, special education, Learning Lab and paraprofessional staff. This personalized instruction occurs in the learning lab, making investments in Learning Lab Materials and Leveled Libraries important so that each student has the materials to receive instruction at his or her level. In addition, our adaptive Online Learning Programs (OLPs) are able to adapt to each student's level, ensuring that all aspects of our instructional program are appropriately differentiated for our Special Education students.

We invest in **Chromebooks** and invest budget each year to maintaining a 5:2, student to Chromebook ratio.

Additionally, we invest in technology consultants to ensure that our Chromebooks and OLPs are working smoothly, Rocketship invests in **technology support consultants**..

### BUDGETED

OLPs: \$39,100 (4411) LCFF-S+C

### **ACTUAL**

Personalized Learning is one area that is continually improving and evolving at RSSP. This year we made strides toward further integrating technology into the classroom, which allows our teachers more time to work in small group. This benefits our ELs and Special Education students that benefit the most from 1:1 and small group instruction.

We continued to refine our approach to OLPs this year, by working with targeted, differentiated lessons in Dreambox and created curated texts sets that could be assigned based on STEP level with MyON.

RSSP participated in a pilot of Newsela.com this year. This is an online, set of leveled nonfiction texts pulled from daily news. This is another way we're personalizing learning for each of our students.

**ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

OLPs: \$32,669 (4411) LCFF-S+C

**Expenditures** 

Chromebooks: \$28,400 (4421) LCFF-S+C Chromebooks: \$14,907 (4421) LCFF-S+C Learning Lab Materials \$3,000 (4390) LCFF-base Learning Lab Materials \$3,617 (4390) LCFF-base Leveled Libraries \$3,000 (4115) LCFF-base Leveled Libraries \$5,884 (4115) LCFF-base Rtl Curriculum \$1,800 (4120) Rtl Curriculum \$692 (4120) Tutors \$193,300 (2101) Tutors \$155,384 (2101) Title I Title I **Technology Consultants Technology Consultants** \$29,700 (5807) \$23,238 (5807) LCFF S+C LCFF S+C

Action 3

### **PLANNED**

### **Special Education supports**

A-3. Although RSSP runs an inclusion model, we realize that our special education students may require additional supports to achieve academically and in non-cognitive functions. These additional supports include additional assessments, such as psycho-educational assessments, speech-language assessments and occupational therapy assessments; additional staffing supports, such as adaptive PE instructors, physical therapists, mental health supports and assistive technology specialists; additional materials, including instructional supplies for speech lessons, counseling materials for school psychologists and occupational therapy materials; and adaptive technology.

### ACTUAL

Our ISE team supported our Special Education student through each of the methods listed to the left.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

BUDGETED

\$6,600

(ISE 4360, ISE 4330, ISE 4340, ISE 4421)

State Special Education funding IDEA

**ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

\$2.088

(ISE 4360, ISE 4330, ISE 4340, ISE 4421)

State Special Education funding IDEA

Contracted Services \$5,500 (ISE 5802) State Special Education funding Contracted Services \$44,153 (ISE 5802) State Special Education funding

Action

4

### **PLANNED**

### Class size reductions

A-4. Students receive personalized instruction through targeted small group instruction and effective whole group instruction led by highly qualified teachers. In order to deepen the impact of our teachers and further personalize instruction, we will be maintaining class size reductions originally initiated in the 2014-15 school year. This class size reduction enables teachers to pull even smaller groups for small group instruction. The reduction will also be particularly beneficial for our Special Education and English Learner populations who will have more frequent access small group instruction and will learn in even smaller, more targeted group settings. RSSP accomplishes this by not back-filling empty seats in grades 4-5 from natural attrition, forgoing additional per pupil funding.

### ACTUAL

In 2014-15, RSSP reduced class sizes by an average of 2 students per class by admitting fewer new students and by refraining from backfilling departures in the upper grades. Over the past two years, we've been committed to keeping our class sizes at an average of 28:1 instead of 30:1, and this year, the average class size at RSSP was 24 students. This allows us to afford each of our students more personalized attention, which benefits all students but particularly ELs and Special Education students.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

Class size reductions \$264,256 (1101)

LCFF-S+C

**ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

Class size reductions \$104,976 (1101)

LCFF-S+C

Action

**Expenditures** 

### **PLANNED**

### **GLAD Training**

A-5. Our goal is to help our EL students make rapid progress out of levels 1 and 2 and into levels 3 and higher on the CELDT Assessment. We believe that the most effective instructional approach for a school with a high EL

### **ACTUAL**

RSSP continues to partner with Project GLAD to ensure all teachers are trained on the GLAD strategies for ELD instruction and are familiar with the new ELD framework developed by the CDE. All new teachers receive GLAD training at the beginning of the year, with returning teachers getting a refresher course. GLAD strategies are imbedded in

Actions/Services

population is to embed ELD principles in all aspects of the curriculum and to teach explicit ELD during a portion of the day. To embed ELD principles across all subjects, we work with **Project GLAD** (Guided Language Acquisition Design) to teach our teachers methods to provide additional instructional support to EL students. Our explicit ELD will focus on developing oral language, grammatical constructs and academic vocabulary in English. This period will take place during the Humanities block when EL students may be leveled by English fluency and provided with explicit ELD instruction. In the Rtl tutoring program, ELs who are not making Significant Gains may receive Literacy instruction as well as ELD as appropriate. Special Education students who are also ELs may have a particularly challenging time acquiring English language. In these cases, we provide Tier II and Tier III tutoring in small group or 1:1 settings. Additionally, we also provide ongoing professional development to our literacy teachers to help them with EL instruction throughout the school year.

all of our instruction, including STEM, so that students are practicing English skills in all areas of the school day.

We have ongoing PD sessions throughout the year to help Literacy teachers master GLAD and other ELS strategies.

**Expenditures** 

### **BUDGETED**

GLAD Training \$15,800 (5804)

Title III

Ongoing literacy teacher PD

\$27,100 (1101)

Title III

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

GLAD Training \$8,400 (5804)

Title III

Ongoing literacy teacher PD

\$33,428 (1101)

Title III

### <u>ANALYSIS</u>

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Each of the action items for this goal is embedded into our Rocketship model, giving these action items the support of school teams and the network support team to help guide high-level thinking, with support and ongoing professional development throughout the school year to ensure its being implemented with fidelity.

RSSP participated in a pilot of Newsela.com this year. This is an online, set of leveled nonfiction texts

pulled from daily news. This allowed RSSP students to read current events at their reading level, and then synthesize and discuss with their peers.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

While SBAC and other state testing data is not yet available, Rocketship uses a cadre of internal assessments to track student progress throughout the year. Students are RSSP are on track for 1.65 years of growth in math and 1.2 years of growth in reading. Math is a large improvement from last year when students averaged 1.28 years of growth. ELA has remained consistent.

We don't yet have data on EL progress for the year, but hope that our CELDT and reclassification results mirror the upward trend we've seen in previous years.

RSSP invested heavily in new books and classroom libraries this year so had to make cuts in other curriculum areas to be able to afford this. RSSP prioritized having more reading materials to make kids excited about reading, especially for our EL and SED children that might not have access to leveled texts at home. Our EL students increase 8.6 points on the ELA SBAC last year.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

RSSP was under budget in almost every line item for this action item. Because the school was under its enrollment target, their budget ended up being less than anticipated. Our GLAD expenditures were significantly below budget because we only had one new-to-Rocketship teacher who needed to attend GLAD training. One exception to this is our ISE Consultant budget, which we were over significantly. We were unable to hire positions regionally, such as occupational therapists and psychologists, and had to use consultants, driving up our costs in this area.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Next year we will continue the newsela.com pilot (see Goal 2 below).

Next year we will be pushing greater for greater OLP integration in the classroom. See Action Items Goal 2 below. We will be adding in budget for printing and reproduction so teachers can make copies of important text and materials.

Next year, we will be removing Class Size Reduction as an action item, not because we plan on allowing our class sizes to grow, but because this has become the new norm at our schools.

Goal

Rocketeers will have access to Common Core standards aligned curriculum across a broad array of content areas taught by appropriately assigned, highly qualified teachers

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	□ 8	
COE	□ 9	□ 10	)						
LOCAL									

### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

**EXPECTED ACTUAL** 

- 1. School provides standards-aligned instructional materials with focus on nonfiction and vocabulary study in social studies
- 2. School provides standards-aligned professional development with focus nonfiction and vocabulary study in social studies
- 3. 100% of full-time teachers have appropriate credentials

- 1. Met 2. Met
- 3. Met

Action

PLANNED

**Professional Development** 

B-1. Summer PD

Each summer, RSSP hosts an intensive three week summer training for all teachers that emphasizes foundational knowledge in culture and classroom. We provide training in classroom management and effective planning, including daily lessons, units, and yearlong plans. We also introduce foundational components of the Rocketship program, including the use of data, instructional techniques, and the scope and sequence of curricula. Sessions are differentiated by subject and grade and focus on skill-building to maximize teacher time. Thursday PD

**ACTUAL** 

As indicated last year, Professional Development at RSSP is a significant part of our plan to ensure our teachers have the skills necessary to be most effective for our students. One initiative for this year is to include more differentiated instruction for our teachers. This benefits our new teachers and our returning teachers by helping them build the skills that will be most helpful in their classrooms.

Some examples of Summer PD sessions include:

**GLAD** Training Home Visits Assessment Suite Overview Systems and Routines

Actions/Services

Rocketship schools dedicate at least 200 hours throughout the school year for staff PD. We dismiss students two and a half hours early one day a week to allow for an afternoon of purposeful and customized PD and culture building for staff. The Principals and Assistant Principals at each school facilitate and organize sessions at each school, targeting the areas of development they see as most beneficial to the staff, personalizing supports for teachers. *Professional Development Fund* 

Rocketship Si Se Puede has a number of veteran Rocketship teachers who express a strong desire to continue honing their craft and developing as professionals. RSSP will establish a professional development fund to reward high-performing teachers with additional learning opportunities and to incentivize experienced teachers to continue working at Rocketship where their development is made a priority. With eligible topics including Spanish language study, teaching in an inclusion model, and the Teachers College Reading And Writing Institute, students are sure to benefit from this additional training their teachers will have received.

### Some example of Thursday PD include:

Exit Ticket Tracking Review of GLAD/ELD Universal Emotional Screener Habits of Discussion

Some examples of PD fund activities RSSP teachers took advantage of this year include:

Language Immersion

Targeted special ed inclusion strategies

### 1101)

**Summer:** \$93,259 SL and Teacher compensation (1301,

1101) *LCFF-S*+C

BUDGETED

Thursday: \$40,935

SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

**PD Fund** 

\$15,000 (5804) LCFF- S+C

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

Summer: \$81,639 SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

Thursday: \$49,454

SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

**PD Fund** \$405 (5804) *LCFF-* S+C

### Action

### **PLANNED**

### **Assessments**

B-2. Students will take a variety of internal and external assessments to determine progress and areas of weakness. Assessments include:

Four rounds of cumulative assessments

### **ACTUAL**

In compliance with state law, RSSP students took all of the required state assessments. Additionally, as mentioned above, RSSP has a cadre of internal assessments, and we did in fact administer four rounds of cumulative assessments, three rounds of NWEA testing and four round of STEP testing. This helps us

Page 13 of Error! Bookmark not defined.

**Expenditures** 

Actions/Services

NWEA three times per year STEP at least four times per year State-mandated CAASPP

To ensure that our students are ready for success on the CAASPP, Rocketship Si Se Puede transitioned to computer based, Common Core aligned benchmark assessments. Consistent with our model of data driven instruction, the results of these benchmarks will be used to adjust instruction to ensure that all students are moving towards mastery of the Common Core standards.

RSSP will hire temporary staff members to facilitate administration and scoring of assessments

identify areas of weakness and growth for our students and better target our instruction toward them.

### BUDGETED

Assessments \$27,000

(4414)

LCFF-base

Temps \$15,800 (5838) LCFF-S+C

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

Assessments \$20,126

(4414)LCFF-base

Temps \$8,678 (5838) LCFF- S+C

## Action

**Expenditures** 

### **PLANNED**

### **Data Days**

BUDGETED

B-3. Following administration of these bi-monthly interim assessments, the teachers, Assistant Principal, and Principal at RSSP will have a full day analyzing interim assessment data. As a key component of these data days, a teacher identifies overall positive trends of the entire class and challenges as well. Learning how to better utilize data enables teachers to improve instructional practices and better serve all students.

### **ACTUAL**

All of the data we collect from student assessments is not helpful if our teachers and school leaders don't know how to use it! As a result, we have three data days per year. During data days, School Leaders and NeST staff helps teachers analyze their students' data to identify areas of individual weakness and common trends. From there, teachers revise lesson plans to target the areas of weakness.

### Actions/Services

**Expenditures** 

\$36.075 Teacher and SL compensation (1101, 1301) LCFF-S+C

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

\$31.400 Teacher and SL compensation (1101, 1301) LCFF-S+C

Action

### **PLANNED**

### Coaching

B-4. The foundational piece of our ongoing staff development is customized, targeted one-on-one coaching provided by Assistant Principals or Principals to teachers. RSSP teachers receive ongoing coaching and support from the Assistant Principal or Principal responsible for their grade level. This typically includes about three hours per week of support for our newest teachers or others who need extra support. This helps teachers better instruct all students, including ELs and Special Education students.

### **ACTUAL**

Coaching is a fundamental piece of Rocketship's instructional model, and, as such, is the main activity for Assistant Principals and Principals. Each School Leader is paired with a teacher, and they observe in class and offer feedback both in real time and during weekly 1:1 coaching sessions. This continues to be a cornerstone of Rocketship's teacher development.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

Coaching \$69,000

AP compensation (1301) LCFF-S+C

**ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

Coaching \$70,837

AP compensation (1301) LCFF-S+C

Action

**Expenditures** 

### **PLANNED**

### **Teacher Credentialing**

B-5. 100% of core teachers will be appropriately assigned and hold a valid CA Teaching Credential with appropriate English learner authorization as defined by the CA Commission on Teaching Credentialing. All core teacher candidates screened for employment will hold valid CA Teaching Credential with appropriate English learner authorization; RSED Human Resources will annually review assignment and credential status. RSSP partners with the Reach Institute for credentialing teachers.

### **ACTUAL**

Every teacher at Si Se Puede was credentialed. However, with our growth and the lack of Teacher talent, we've hired more and more teachers who had credentialing needs (due to them coming from out of state and/or being new to teaching and needing to obtain a credential). In August of 2016, we established a new credentialing program to help ensure that our teachers are appropriately assigned and qualified. We revised the Credentialing Memo sent with Teacher Offer Packages to clearly outline requirements and expectations. We also held individual calls with hired teachers to go over their next steps. We've also increased our support to new teachers by having a dedicated Talent Manager work with teachers in need of credentialing, as well as rolled out a new HRIS system.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

Credentialing \$22,700 (5833) Title II **ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

Credentialing \$26,000 (5833) Title II

**Expenditures** 

### **ANALYSIS**

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Rocketship deeply values our teacher development, and the action items related to this goal demonstrate the seriousness of which we approach ensuring that our students are being taught by high-level instructors. We've worked on several new initiatives to ensure that we meet each of these goals, most notable credentialing for this year. As explained above, we've implemented new programs this year to ensure that our teachers understand the requirements for credentialing.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Our teacher credentialing is in a much better place than it was a year ago, and our staff have noted anecdotally that they feel as though they have a better understanding of what is expected of them. By dedicating a Network Support Team member to support teachers, teachers and School leaders have more capacity to devote time to things like on-going professional development and coaching.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

We were under budget for many of our PD expenditures because our teacher staffing costs came in under budget, although our School Leaders were over budget. This is because we have many teachers that are in their first or second year, so have a lower salary. As noted in Goal 1, our enrollment was under target so we have fewer students taking exams. This is why this line item is lower.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

We are continuing to improve our credentialing systems for 2017-18 so that we can ensure each student is being taught by an appropriately assigned, credentialed instructor. This is noted under Goal 2 below. Our PD model will also remain constant, with the flexibility to introduce new sessions that are relevant to next year's staff and students. We met this goal this year and plan on continuing next year.

We will also be ready to devote more funds to assessments if necessary to prepare for the new EL exam.

# Goal 3

School environment will be safe and welcoming for all students

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	□2	□ 3	□ 4	□ 5	⊠ 6	□ 7	□ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10	)					
LOCAL								

### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

**EXPECTED** 

- 1. Parents believe school is a safe place for their children: 92%
- 2. 3rd-5th grade students believe school is a safe environment to learn: 94%
- 3. Student suspension rate below that of neighboring schools
- 4. Student expulsion rate <1%

**ACTUAL** 

- 1. Parents believe school is a safe place for their children: 84%
- 2. 3rd-5th grade students believe school is a safe environment to learn: 72%
- 3. Student suspension rate below that of neighboring schools: yes, current suspension rate is 2.2% (over 3.20% for the district)
- 4. Student expulsion rate <1%: 0%

Action

1

Actions/Services

**PLANNED** 

**BOM** 

C-1. Rocketship Si Se Puede employs a Business Operations Manager to manage support staff and oversee the daily operations of the school including the school breakfast and lunch program, arrival and dismissal, and the safety and cleanliness of all common spaces. This position is critical to meeting RSSP's and the state's goals for student safety and maintaining facilities.

**ACTUAL** 

Our BOM is an integral part of the RSSP team. As planned, we hired and employed a BOM to coordinate daily operations. Our BOM at RSSP is Ms. Laura Gonzalez.

Expenditures	BUDGETED \$100,650 BOM (2301) LCFF-S+C	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$95,404 BOM (2301) LCFF-S+C
Action 2		
Actions/Services	School Maintenance C-2. We ensure that school facilities are in good repair through preventative maintenance. This includes annual inspections aligned with state Office of Public School Construction Facilities Inspection tool. As a result, we invest in necessary repairs and upgrades to ensure the school is a safe and welcoming environment for students, families and staff.	ACTUAL  The RSSP building is one of the oldest Rocketship campuses. There are 3-4 facilities walkthroughs each year conducted by the BOM and the network operations team, during which the school is reviewed for potential items out of compliance with state standards.  Additionally, there is a portal for BOMs and other school staff to submit maintenance requests. Rocketship employs a handyman to help solve these small repairs.
Expenditures	BUDGETED \$48,000 Building repairs (5610) LCFF-base	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$48,000 Building repairs (5610) LCFF-base
Action 3		
Actions/Services	Capital Facilities Repairs C-3. We allocate funds for capital facilities projects that extend beyond general maintenance and upkeep. These projects are large improvements on our facilities and benefit all students, families and staff.	ACTUAL Capital Improvements at RSSP this year included: Bathroom stall replacement in building D (kinder bathrooms) Paint campus including doors Artificial turf replacement Install bollard near auto gate Dimmer installation in classrooms
Expenditures	\$146,900 Building repairs (5610)	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$168,507 Building repairs (5610) LCFF-S+C

Action

Actions/Services	Custodial Services + Supplies  C-4. In order to provide a safe and clean environment in which teachers can focus on teaching, students can focus on learning, and school leaders can focus on leading, we employ a custodial team to ensure the daily upkeep of the campus and to identify any safety concerns or necessary repairs of the building.	ACTUAL  Custodial services happen once a day, with two deep cleans throughout the school year (usually during winter and spring break). This year, Rocketship began looking into contracting with a custodial company for all schools to help get better pricing and create more consistent service.
Expenditures	BUDGETED \$146,900 Custodial services (5821) LCFF-base	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$68,044 Custodial services (5821) LCFF-base
Action 5		
Actions/Services	Support Staff C-4. In order to continue to strengthen our systems and operations we invest in staff to support daily transition points such as arrival, dismissal, lunch and recess. These transitions represent a significant percentage of behavior issues on campus. By employing support staff during these transitions, the school will ensure that students are provided with a safe and welcoming environment throughout the day. Students with behavior support needs will benefit from calmer and quieter transitions and additional supervision during this time will enable staff to quickly deescalate any outbursts that occur during this time.	ACTUAL  Support staff remain a critical investment at RSSP, providing the necessary staffing for our universal breakfast program, lunch, arrival and dismissal. Support staff are our families' daily touchpoint with the school, providing support during arrival and dismissal and are a key aspect of school safety and parent satisfaction. Support staff also oversee lunch, another area of concern for many families. Our Network and BOM teams completed meal service walk-throughs this winter to help Support Staff better support our National School Lunch and Universal Breakfast Programs in a compliant, efficient manner that increases enjoyment for students.
Expenditures	BUDGETED \$134,300 Support Staff Compensation (2201) LCFF-S+C	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$170,286 Support Staff Compensation (2201) LCFF-S+C

### **ANALYSIS**

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

arrival and dismissal and general safety, with 27% of parents and 35% of parents, respectively, noting those as one of their top two priorities. As an aging campus, we invested significantly in capital repairs (nearly double) to improve our campus. Our BOM oversees facility and safety issues on the ground, with Describe the overall implementation of the support from our network operations team. actions/services to achieve the articulated goal. There were many new initiatives this year, including hiring additional BOM managers at the network level to better support our BOMs. Having better support structures in place has allowed us to make progress in these areas. Having a solid leader operations leader on campus is a huge priority for our school, and creating a safe environment would not be possible without these systems. Creating a safe environment for our students is one of our top priorities and we saw an increase from parents of 2 percentage points from 82% to 84% and our student safety score increased over 4 percentage Describe the overall effectiveness of the points from 67.6% to 72%. Although we saw increases, the number of parents and student who do not feel actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as safe is still too high, and will be a priority again for next year. measured by the LEA. We overspent in some areas and under-spent in others. Our support staff costs were over because we used additional staff members to help with arrival, dismissal and other heightened times to help foster the Explain material differences between Budgeted sense of safety we're trying to achieve. Our custodial budget was low because the school was able to Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. negotiate pricing. Describe any changes made to this goal, Next year, Capital Repairs will not hit the school budgets. This will reduce the need for Principal Shinn to have to make tough decisions about the safety of the building and other areas, such as instructional expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and

Creating a safe environment for our students is one of our top priorities and we've implemented many new systems to help with the main pain points RSSP commonly hears from parents and other stakeholders:

Goal 4

Rocketship students will become self-motivated, competent, and lifelong learners and will develop a deep love of learning.

supplies. There will also be added budget for furniture replacement and security services.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

services to achieve this goal as a result of this

analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable, Identify where those

changes can be found in the LCAP.

STATE	□ 1	□2	□ 3	□ 4	⊠ 5	⊠ 6	⊠ 7	⊠ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10	)					
LOCAL								

### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

**EXPECTED ACTUAL** 

1. Student suspension rate: Below norm for schools with similar populations (3.2%)

2. Student expulsion rate: <1%

3. Student chronic absenteeism: 10.4%

4. School ADA rate: >95%

1. Student suspension rate: 2.2%

2. Student expulsion rate: 0%

3. Student chronic absenteeism: 7.3%

4. School ADA rate: 95.3%

Action

**PLANNED** 

### **Enrichment**

D-1. Enrichment is a critical component of a students' education at Rocketship. In addition to aligning with CCSS standards, time spent in the Enrichment Center provides students with fun and engaging activities that increase their focus and commitment during core instruction. The Enrichment Center Coordinators provide students with the opportunity to engage in physical education, art, and various other enrichment activities. The Coordinators play a critical role in strengthening school culture. Importantly, enrichment also provides an opportunity for students to excel and show off talents that may not be immediately apparent in a general education setting. For our Special Education students, this can be an especially motivating and engaging portion of their day.

### **ACTUAL**

Rocketship Si Se Puede offered science, music, art and physical education enrichment options. Students and parents report high satisfaction with these offerings.

Actions/Services

**Expenditures** 

BUDGETED **Enrichment Coordinators** \$141.636

(2101) LCFF-S+C

**ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

**Enrichment Coordinators** 

\$116,538

(2101) LCFF-S+C

Action

Actions/Services

**Expenditures** 

Action

Actions/Services

### **PLANNED**

### Field Trips

D-2. Field Trips provide an important opportunity to both deepen students' learning and increase engagement. Many of the field trips taken are science and/or social studies related, enabling teachers to integrate the learning into their thematic units back in the classroom. In addition, parents often attend field trips with their students, thereby increasing parental engagement as well. The cornerstone of our field trip experience is Fifth Grade Camp. Each year, Rocketship 5<sup>th</sup> graders goes to Groveland, CA for one week of hiking, science lessons, outdoor exploration and camp fun. For many Rocketeers, this is one of their first experiences leaving home.

# BUDGETED

Field Trips \$43,000 (5860) *LCFF-S+C* 

### **ACTUAL**

Each grade at Si Se Puede took a field trip together. The cornerstone of our field trip program is fourth and fifth grade overnight trips. Our fourth graders attend Vida Verde for an overnight science trip. Our fifth graders take part in a week long science camp at Yosemite. For many of our students, this trip is often the first time they spend significant time away from their homes, preparing them for middle school the following year.

The field trip highlight of the school year was going to see the Gonzaga basketball team practice during March Madness. The school taught lessons about probability to help students fill out brackets, and then the whole school took a field trip to San Jose's SAP center to see Gonzaga, a school many students picked to win, practice before their game.

### **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

Field Trips \$34,272 (5860) *LCFF-S+C* 

### **PLANNED**

### Social Emotional Learning

D-3. RSSP has been using Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) since the school opened. The fundamental purpose of PBIS is to create learning environments that are more consistent, predictable, positive, and safe, which helps our students develop their socio-emotional intelligence. One key component of PBIS is implementing a socio-emotional learning (SEL) curriculum. We implement the "Kimochi's" curriculum in the lower grades (pre-k through grade two), and the "RULER" approach in upper grades (third through fifth grades). The goal of these curricula is to help students identify, communicate, and regulate feelings, as well as develop appropriate social skills.

### ACTUAL

Social emotional learning (SEL) has been an increasingly large focus at Si Se Puede. As noted above, our 72% of our students feel safe at school. We know that feeling safe can have multiple meaning, which often extend beyond physical space and into mental space. As a result, we've continued to implement the Kimochi and RULER approaches, as appropriate for our students.

**Expenditures** 

**BUDGETED** 

\$5,800 Instructional supplies (4340) LCFF-Base **ESTIMATED ACTUAL** 

\$3,097 Instructional supplies (4340)

LCFF-Base

### **ANALYSIS**

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Ensuring that our students and staff enjoy their day-to-day school experience is a large priority for us. Our staff satisfaction increased this year and our students are happy with their enrichment and field trip offerings. Enrichment is built into each child's day and teachers have the autonomy to pick field trips for their students based on lessons.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Our suspension rate at 2.2% higher than last year and points to our social-emotional efforts not being effective, and more than a quarter of students do not always feel safe at school. Our school ADA has dipped slightly from 95.6% to 95.3%, but our chronic absenteeism has exceeded our goal by over 3 percentage points.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Si Se Puede was under budget in each area for this Goal. Part of this is because the school was underenrolled and therefore was able to spend less on variable costs, such as Field Trip admissions and transportation.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

We will implement new social-emotional learning programs next year (see below in Goal 4), as well as more parent engagement on suspensions. We will work with our para-professionals so that one is a "safety" expert and can intervene with behavioral issues. There will be a large focus on bullying next year, with the following initiatives being explored: Bullying Seminars, safe spaces, student-led "PR" campaigns, character development help, peer support groups and integration into after school programs. See Goal 4 below for more details.

Next year, we will report our Chronic Absenteeism aligned to the future Dashboard calculation. See below in Goal 4: Goals Actions and Services for our Chronic Absenteeism rates calculated in this manner.

Next year, Principal Shinn will enact a new policy for Field Trips to encourage teachers to use their full budget. She will reallocate field trip funds to other grades if one grade does not use it all.

# Goal 5

Rocketship parents are engaged in their students' education

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	□ 2	⊠ 3	□ 4	⊠ 5	□ 6	□ 7	□ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10	)					
LOCAL								

### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

- Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month: 30%
- 2. Parent satisfaction: 76%
- 3. Number of community meetings each year: at least 5 meetings
- 4. Number of parent conferences: at least 3

- 1. Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month: 28%
- 2. Parent satisfaction: 85%
- 3. Number of community meetings each year: at least 5 meetings
- 4. Number of parent conferences: at least 3

Action

1

**PLANNED** 

### Parent involvement

**E-1. Community Events:** RSSP hosts many special events during the year to engage parents and families. These events include community meetings, exhibition nights, and other school events. In order to support these efforts, RSSP invests in parent appreciation items and provides a materials budget.

Parent Volunteer Opportunities: Rocketship Si Se Puede parents will be encouraged to volunteer at the schools to help tighten the link between the families and the school as well as assist RSSP teachers and staff with various school operations. These activities will vary widely **ACTUAL** 

Parent engagement is one of the cornerstones of Rocketship Si Se Puede educational plan. RSSP provides frequent opportunities for parents to engage with school staff. Parents frequently lead these efforts along with school staff, thereby building their own skills as community leaders.

Actions/Services

but will include classroom assistance, translating documents, administrative assistance, and assisting in special school events.	
BUDGETED Parent Appreciation and Materials \$12,800 (5822, 4510)	ESTIMATED ACTUAL Parent Appreciation and Materials \$3,283 (5822, 4510)
LCFF- base	LCFF- base

Action 2

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

### **PLANNED**

### **Parent Outreach**

E-2. RSSP provides many opportunities throughout the school year for parents to interact with RSSP staff. The school offers monthly forums for parents to provide feedback as well as learn in depth about school activities. This enables parents to become a more active participant in their child's education.

### **BUDGETED**

Parent Outreach \$7,800 (1101), *LCFF*- base

### **ACTUAL**

This year, Principal Shinn and her staff hosted community meetings, parent coffees and celebrations throughout the year, with at least one opportunity to interact with school leadership per month.

### ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Parent Outreach \$5,313 (1101), *LCFF*- base

**PLANNED** 

### Office Manager

E-3. Rocketship's Office Managers are the face of the school to students and families. Office Managers oversee much of the communication that goes directly to families and coordinate many parent engagement efforts, including parent volunteerism and community events. Office Managers are critical to our efforts to engage families in their children's learning and the school community.

### **ACTUAL**

The Office Manager at RSSP is Ms. Beatriz Valdez. She has been a staple at Si Se Puede for many years and is a continually strong presence at the school.

Expenditures

\$75,915 OM Compensation (2401) LCFF-S+C \$71,137 OM Compensation (2401) LCFF-S+C

### **ANALYSIS**

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Parent involvement starts when parents first walk in the school door, and our Office Manager is often the first and most common point of contact at the school. The OM and the Principal work together to ensure that parents receive frequent and appropriate communication from the school. We also have regional and national seems to support parent engagement.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Although exceeding expectations, parent satisfaction dropped from 90% to 85% of parents reporting satisfaction with their school. We also fell slight short of target for parents attending at least one event per month at 28%, two percentage points away from our goal of 30%. This shows that we still have a ways to go, particularly in terms of increasing parent satisfaction.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

RSSP is under budget for parent appreciation materials so far this year. Funds have been used for refreshments at community meetings, programming during many evening events and parent appreciation gifts.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

One issue of concern this year has been the rising suspension rate and decreasing ADA at RSSP, which can relate back to parental involvement. One big initiative we will be pushing next year our anti-bullying campaign, which will hopefully help reduce suspensions, and we will be significantly involving parents in this process. Parents have also noted that they would like more resources for helping their students succeed at school, and one community meeting at the beginning of the year will be devoted to helping parents build these skills. There will be refreshers as needed throughout the year.

# **Stakeholder Engagement**

LCAP Year

### INVOLVEMENT PROCESS FOR LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How, when, and with whom did the LEA consult as part of the planning process for this LCAP/Annual Review and Analysis?

Rocketship Si Se Puede provides regular opportunities for stakeholders to give input on the running of their school. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, monthly coffee chats with the principal, community meetings, and 1:1 meetings with the school leadership team.

In all of these engagement opportunities, Si Se Puede encourages school stakeholders to comment on the strengths they see in the school and any operational or instructional concerns they may have, which in turn influence the school's LCAP action items and school initiatives.

The formal engagement process with stakeholders began by sharing our annual Parent Satisfaction Survey with our families in February 2017. The surveys were available in English and Spanish so all of our families could participate. The survey included a question for participants to indicate the top two priorities for school improvement at Rocketship Si Se Puede. 354 parents responded. The results of the surveys were tallied and then presented to the School Site Council, a school group composed of parents, teachers, school leaders and Rocketship Education Network Staff, on May 3, 2017. The School Site Council, using the results from the Parent Satisfaction survey, the CA Dashboard and other internal metrics, such as NWEA scores, identified the areas most in need of improvement.

Concurrently, Rocketship Si Se Puede held an in-person Parent Coffee on April 20, 2017 for all families understand the components of LCAP and to discuss how we could best use the LCFF funds to serve our students and improve services in alignment with the state priorities. In addition to sharing the state's goals, we shared information about services and resources currently offered by the school that align with those priorities and initial proposals for additional services and resources we could offer. If families did not complete the February Parent Satisfaction Survey, they were given the option to complete an online survey indicating the two areas they felt are most in need of improvement. Parent representatives from all student subgroups, including Hispanic and Asian student subgroups and parents of ELs, attended the meeting.

Students at Rocketship Si Se Puede were also consulted in regards to their feelings on school safety and climate in a student safety survey. 57 students in grades 3-5 responded to this ask. Parents were also asked about school culture in the Parent Satisfaction Survey.

Rocketship Education staff both on a school level and network level engaged in the LCAP review process. Teachers provided feedback via online survey starting on March 14, 2017. In addition, the Rocketship Education Network Finance Team met with each the Rocketship Si Se Puede leadership team on April 25, 2017 to discuss areas of growth and improvement at their school. Rocketship Education's Board of Directors met on May 25, 2017 to review and finalize Rocketship Si Se Puede LCAP.

### IMPACT ON LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How did these consultations impact the LCAP for the upcoming year?

Feedback from our parents, students, teachers, school leadership and staff is crucial for continued success at Rocketship Si Se Puede. The stakeholder engagement opportunities listed above resulted in clear, and often correlating, suggestions for meaningful improvement for the upcoming academic year.

When parents were asked during the Parent Satisfaction Surveys what were the most critical areas for improvement at Rocketship Si Se Puede, the top three responses were: School Safety (35%), Safety and Efficiency of Arrival and Dismissal (27%) and Quality of Teachers (21%) Efficiency of Arrival and Dismissal and Quality of Teachers were above network average 6% and 2% respectively.

Students expressed a similar interest in the importance of addressing safety concerns. Of the 57 students surveyed, 39% of students say they "Always" feel safe at school and 72% of students "Always" or "Usually" feel safe. Students felt unsafe primarily through bullying of other students and concerns when school fences were open.

The list of areas of improvement that surfaced with parents and students were reiterated and expanded upon with teachers, staff and school leadership. Upon reflecting on the previous academic year, school leaders felt that an area of focus for next year is expanding opportunities for additional learning, such as providing continued funding for field trips, bringing an enrichment teacher on that focuses on science and engineering and having the curriculum to support that change, and having the funds to engage in partnerships with the local library and other engineering organizations. School leaders also recognized that safety continues to be an area of improvement and would like to continue to have at least one additional paraprofessional to support those means. During the school's SSC meeting, participants echoed both student concerns around a need for social-emotional training to provide students the social skills for future emotional development and to mitigate bullying as well as school leadership's ask for greater focus on field trip and external program.

In summary, the Rocketship Si Se Puede community feels very much in line in terms of overall needs for the upcoming year. Rocketship Si Se Puede recommends that improvements should be made in providing social-emotional curriculum, training and staffing to alleviate concerns around bullying and safety as well as towards providing hands-on learning that accounts for the increasing need for STEM-based skills.

# Goals, Actions, & Services

Strategic Planning Details and Accountability

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate th
--

	□ New	☐ Modified	⊠ Unchanged
Goal 1	Improve Rocketeers' p	proficiency in key content areas,	overall and for key subgroups

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	⊠ 8				
COE	□ 9	□ 10										
LOCAL												

**Identified Need** 

While Rocketship Si Se Puede has performed well in comparison to neighboring schools with similar demographics, historically not all students have achieved proficiency. In particular, there is a subset of students who persistently perform in the Below Basic or Far Below Basic quintiles, and new students in particular, enter Rocketship Si Se Puede, on average, 1.5 years below grade level. With the increased rigor of the SBAC, it is even more essential that we invest in strategies to support all our students making progress towards proficiency. Our ELA scores are lagging behind out Math scores, with students making a half year less growth in ELA than math so far this school year.

### **EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES**

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
English Learner Progress Indicator	88.7%, increased 24.4%	Maintain 88% or above		
ELA Indicator	Low (34.4 points below level 3), increased 8.6 points	Increase 5 points to 30 points below level 3		
Math Indicator	High (1.6 points below level 3), increased 5.4 points	Increase to be at level 3 for all students		

### PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1								
For Actions/Services not included as co	ntributing to meet	ting the Increas	ed or Improve	d Services Requ	uirement	:		
Students to be Served	⊠ All □ Stu	udents with Disal	oilities 🔲 [S	☐ [Specific Student Group(s)]				
Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific Sc	hools:		Spec	cific Grade spa	ns:	
			OR					
For Actions/Services included as contrib	uting to meeting	the Increased	or Improved Se	ervices Requirer	ment:			
Students to be Served	☐ English Learn	ers	er Youth [	Low Income				
	Scope of S	Gervices		Schoolwide	OR	☐ Limited to	Unduplicated Student	
Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sc	hools:		Spec	cific Grade spa	ns:	
ACTIONS/SERVICES								
2017-18		2018-19		2	2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		□ New □ M	lodified 🗌 Un	nchanged [	New	☐ Modified	Unchanged	
Common Core-aligned instruction & ma A-1. The Rocketship Si Se Puede curriculu California adoption of the Common Core Sta ("CCSS") for the subject areas of: English/La (includes Writing), and Mathematics, as well standards for Social Studies, Art and Music Generation Science Standards. Rocketship ELA and Math focus standards – the most rie each grade level – as the most important ma success in order to prioritize the focus of ins also ensuring that all grade-level standards every course. Rocketship Si Se Puede opermodel and therefore this core curriculum will students including Special Education student teachers will use the ELL framework to emb								

tasks, receptive tasks and productive language functions into the curriculum to aid language acquisition.  Rocketship Si Se Puede utilizes a Balanced Literacy approach for ELA instruction with a significant focus on Guided Reading. Expanding the breadth and depth of our classroom libraries will ensure that all students have access to a wide variety of texts to meet the different genre requirements in Common Core, as well as ensuring that all students have access to books at their appropriate reading level. For EL students, this can be particularly motivating and a useful strategy to engage reluctant or struggling readers. These expanded libraries will also enable us to loan out books for students to take home, so that parents can support reading and language acquisition efforts at home.									
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES .								
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20				
Amount	\$18,000 Core Curri \$34,320 Books	culum	Amount		Amount				
Source	LCFF-base		Source		Source				
Budget Reference	(4100) (4210)		Budget Reference		Budget Reference				
Action 2	Action 2								
For Actions/Service	ces not included as c	ontributing to meet	ting the Increased	or Improved Services Re	equirement:				
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ All ☐ Stud	dents with Disabiliti	es [Specific Student C	Group(s)]				
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific School	ls:	☐ Specific Grade spans:				
OR									
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									

### **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES**

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
	OLPs: \$41,053 (4411)	LCFF-S+C				
	Chromebooks: \$26,052	2 (4421) LCFF-S+C				
	Learning Lab Materials S+C	\$5,000 (4390) LCFF-				
	Leveled Libraries \$12,0	000 (4115) LCFF-S+C				
	Newsela \$1,800 (4411	) LCFF-S+C				
Amount	Printing and Reproduct S+C	tion \$8000 (5822) LCFF-	Amount		Amount	
	Rtl Curriculum \$1,800 Tutors\$198,413 (2101 Title I	` '				
	Technology Consultant \$25,000 (5807) LCFF-S+C	ts				
Source	See above		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	See above		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 3						
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	e Increased or Im	proved Services Require	ement:	
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All Students w	ith Disabilities [	Specific Student Group	(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:_RS	SP Specific	c Grade spans:_	

For Actions/Servi	ces included as contri	buting to meeting the l	ncreased or	Improved Se	ervices Requireme	ent:		
<u>S</u>	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster `	Youth	Low Income			
		Scope	of Services	LEA-wide		de <b>OR</b>	Limited	to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ S	Specific Scho	ols:		Specific Gra	ade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
☐ New ⊠ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged
special education is achieve academical additional supports psycho-educational and occupational the such as adaptive Psupports and assist including instruction materials for school materials; and adaptive Psupports and assist including instruction materials; and adaptive Psupports and adaptive Psu	P runs an inclusion modutudents may require aduily and in non-cognitive include additional asset assessments, speecherapy assessments; ad E instructors, physical three technology specialismal supplies for speech I psychologists and occipitive technology.  I postive technology.	ditional supports to functions. These ssments, such as language assessments ditional staffing supports, nerapists, mental health sts; additional materials, essons, counseling upational therapy  I classroom instruction e learning programs oring. All students, access and benefit from the entire programs or programs and entire the entire programs and entire the entire programs and education students esceive additional Tier II						
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>		2040 42			2040-20		
2017-18	<b>#C COO</b>		2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	\$6,600 (ISE 4360, ISE 4330, I	·	Amount			Amount		

	IDEA						
	Contracted Services						
	\$5,500 (ISE 5802) State Special Education	on funding					
Source	See above		Source			Source	
Budget Reference	See above		Budget Refere			Budget Referenc	е
Action 4							
For Actions/Servi	ices not included as c	ontributing to meeting	the Increas	sed or Impro	oved Services Requi	rement:	
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Student	s with Disab	ilities 🔲 🛚	Specific Student Grou	p(s)]	
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sch	nools:		☐ Specific Gr	ade spans:
				OR			
For Actions/Servi	ices included as contr	ibuting to meeting the	Increased	or Improved	Services Requirem	ent:	
<u>\$</u>	Students to be Served	⊠ English Learners	☐ Foste	r Youth	☐ Low Income		
		Scope o	f Services	LEA-wide Student Gro		OR	Limited to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sch	nools:		Specific Gr	ade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVIC	CES CES						
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
☐ New ☐ Modif	fied 🛛 Unchanged		☐ New	☐ Modified	Unchanged	☐ New [	☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
A-4. Our goal is to help our EL students make rapid progress out of levels 1 and 2 and into levels 3 and higher on the CELDT Assessment. We believe that the most effective instructional approach for a school with a high EL population is to embed ELD principles in all aspects of the curriculum and to teach explicit ELD during a portion of the day. To embed ELD principles across all subjects, we work with Project GLAD.							

(Guided Language Acquisition Design) to teach our teachers methods to provide additional instructional support to EL students. Our explicit ELD will focus on developing oral language, grammatical constructs and academic vocabulary in English. This period will take place during the Humanities block when EL students may be leveled by English fluency and provided with explicit ELD instruction. In the Rtl tutoring program, ELs who are not making Significant Gains may receive Literacy instruction as well as ELD as appropriate. Special Education students who are also ELs may have a particularly challenging time acquiring English language. In these cases, we provide Tier II and Tier III tutoring in small group or 1:1 settings. Additionally, we also provide ongoing professional development to our literacy teachers to help them with EL instruction throughout the school year.							
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES							
2017-18	3			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	GLAD Training \$15,550			Amount		Amount	
	Ongoing literacy teacher PD \$36,000			Amount		Amount	
Source	(5804) (1101)			Source		Source	
Budget Reference	Title III			Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
	□ New □		☐ Modified	□ Unchanged			
Goal 2 Rocketeers will have access to Comby appropriately assigned, highly qu				nmon Core standards aligned curriculum across a broad array of content areas taught lalified teachers			
State and/or Local	Prioriti	es Addressed by th	nis goal: STATE	1 ⊠2 □3 ⊠4 □5 □6 □7 □8			
COE LOCAL				9 🗆 10			

#### **Identified Need**

Now that Common Core State Standards are fully implemented, it is essential that we are providing students with aligned curriculum and material. Rocketship Si Se Puede adopted a CCSS aligned Math curriculum and Writing program in 2014-15. RSSP is now working to leverage technology as a means of personalizing education for students and building students' technical fluency and invest in science and social studies curricula.

With the state-wide teacher shortage, we've hired more and more teachers who had credentialing needs due to them coming from out of state and/or being new to teaching and needing to obtain a credential.

#### **EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES**

Metrics/Indicators	Baseli	ine	2017-18		201	8-19	2019-20
School provides standards-aligned instructional materials	Met/not met		Met				
School provides standards-aligned professional development	Met/not met - # of hours of PD provided each year: 320		Met - 320				
100% of full-time teachers have appropriate credentials	Met		Met - 100%				
Action 1							
For Actions/Services n	ot included as co	ntributing to m	eeting the Increased o	r Improv	ed Services Red	quirement:	
Stude	nts to be Served	☐ AII ☐	Students with Disabilities [Specific Student C			Group(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific School		Grade spans:		
			OR				
For Actions/Services in	cluded as contrib	outing to meeti	ng the Increased or Im	proved S	Services Require	ement:	
Stude	nts to be Served	⊠ English Le	arners	uth	∠ Low Income		
		Scope o	of Services	de 🗵	Schoolwide	OR 🗆	Limited to Unduplicated Student
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific School	3:		☐ Specific	Grade spans:

**ACTIONS/SERVICES** 

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
Professional Development B-1. Summer PD Each summer, RSSP hosts an intensive three week summer training for all teachers that emphasizes foundational knowledge in culture and classroom. We provide training in classroom management and effective planning, including daily lessons, units, and yearlong plans. We also introduce foundational components of the Rocketship program, including the use of data, instructional techniques, and the scope and sequence of curricula. Sessions are differentiated by subject and grade and focus on skill-building to maximize teacher time.		
Thursday PD Rocketship schools dedicate at least 200 hours throughout the school year for staff PD. We dismiss students two and a half hours early one day a week to allow for an afternoon of purposeful and customized PD and culture building for staff. The Principals and Assistant Principals at each school facilitate and organize sessions at each school, targeting the areas of development they see as most beneficial to the staff, personalizing supports for teachers.  Professional Development Fund		
Rocketship Si Se Puede has a number of veteran Rocketship teachers who express a strong desire to continue honing their craft and developing as professionals. RSSP will establish a professional development fund to reward high-performing teachers with additional learning opportunities and to incentivize experienced teachers to continue working at Rocketship where their development is made a priority. With eligible topics including Spanish language study, teaching in an inclusion model, and the Teachers College Reading And Writing Institute, students are sure to benefit from this additional training their teachers will have received.		

**BUDGETED EXPENDITURES** 

2017-18 2018-19 2019-20

	\$109,508 Summer PD					
Amount	\$66,336 Thursday		Amount		Amount	
	\$15,000 PD Fund					
Source	See above LCFF S+C		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	1301, 1101, 5804		Budget Reference		Budget Referenc e	
,						
Action 2						
For Actions/Service	es not included as c	ontributing to meet	ing the Increa	sed or Improved Servic	es Requirement:	
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ All ☐ Stud	lents with Disa	bilities [Specific Students	dent Group(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific So	chools:	Specific	Grade spans:
				OR		
For Actions/Service	es included as contr	ibuting to meeting t	the Increased	or Improved Services F	Requirement:	
	tudents to be Served	⊠ English Learner		er Youth 🔀 Low Inco		
		-	cope of Service	LEA-wide Student Group(s)	] Schoolwide C	R Limited to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific Sc	chools:	Specific	Grade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u> </u>					
2017-18			2018-	19	2019-2	20
☐ New ☐ Modifie	ed 🛚 Unchanged		☐ Ne	w Modified Unc	hanged	w
	ke a variety of internal termine progress and a					

Assessments include:     Four rounds of cumulative assessments     NWEA three times per year     STEP at least four times per year     State-mandated CAASPP  To ensure that our students are ready for success on the CAASPP, Rocketship Si Se Puede transitioned to computer based, Common Core aligned benchmark assessments. Consistent with our model of data driven instruction, the results of these benchmarks will be used to adjust instruction to ensure that all students are moving towards mastery of the Common Core standards.  RSSP will hire temporary staff members to facilitate administration and scoring of assessments						
BUDGETED EXPE						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	Assessments \$25,190 (4414) LCFF-S+C Temps \$20,000 (5838) LCFF-S+C		Amount		Amount	
Source	See above		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	See above		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 3						
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	Increased or Im	proved Services Require	ment:	
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	ith Disabilities [	Specific Student Group	s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:	Specific Grade	spans:	
			OR			
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contr	ibuting to meeting the Inc	reased or Impro	ved Services Requirement	nt:	

	Students to be Served	□ English Learners	☐ Foster You	th 🖂	Low Income			
		Scope		LEA-wide		de <b>OR</b>	Limite	d to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ S	Specific Schools:			] Specific Gra	ade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u>DES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modi	fied 🛚 Unchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged
assessments, the RSSP will have a t key component of positive trends of t how to better utiliz	these data days, a teach the entire class and chall	cipal, and Principal at a ssessment data. As a						
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>ENDITURES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	\$42,118		Amount			Amount		
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source		
Budget Reference	(1101, 1301)		Budget Reference			Budget Reference	)	
Action 4								
For Actions/Serv	rices not included as c	ontributing to meeting t	ne Increased o	r Improved	d Services Requir	ement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students	with Disabilities	☐ [Spe	ecific Student Group	o(s)]		-
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Schools:			] Specific Gra	ade spans:	
			OR					
For Actions/Serv	rices included as contr	ibuting to meeting the I	ncreased or Im	proved Se	ervices Requireme	ent:		

<u> </u>	Students to be Served		☐ Foster You	uth	□ Low Income				
		Scope o	☐ LEA-wide Student Group(s) Schoolwide			de <b>OR</b>	e OR		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools	:		Specific G	Grade spans:_		
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modi	fied 🛚 Unchanged		□ New □ M	lodified	Unchanged	New	Modified	Unchanged	
customized, target Assistant Principal receive ongoing co Principal or Princip typically includes a newest teachers o	nal piece of our ongoing ed one-on-one coaching s or Principals to teache paching and support from pal responsible for their gabout three hours per we rothers who need extra truct all students, including.	rs. RSSP teachers In the Assistant In th							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>ENDITURES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	Coaching \$229,688		Amount			Amount			
Source	1301		Source			Source			
Budget Reference	LCFF-S+C		Budget Reference			Budget Reference			
Action 5 For Actions/Serv	ices not included as co	ontributing to meeting	the Increased of	or Impro	ved Services Red	quirement:			
	Students to be Served		its with Disabilitie	s 🔲 j	Specific Student G	Group(s)]			
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific School	s:I	RSSP	Spec	ific Grade		

		spans:							
				OR					
For Actions/Serv	ices included as contril	buting to meeting	the Increased	or Improved	Services Require	ment:			
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learne	ers 🗌 Fost	er Youth	☐ Low Income				
		Scor	oe of Services	Services LEA-wide Schoolwide OR Limited to Unduplicate Student Group(s)					
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific So	chools:		Specific	Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
☐ New ⊠ Modi	fied 🗌 Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	
hold a valid CA Te learner authorization Teaching Credenticemployment will hot appropriate English Resources will ann RSSP partners with teachers.  Each teacher in new Manager in the RS process. There will	aling teachers will be appropri aching Credential with ap on as defined by the CA ( aling. All core teacher ca old valid CA Teaching Cre in learner authorization; R inually review assignment the Reach Institute for eed of credentialing is assigned to the core in the Reach Institute for the core is a new HR info system eacher know what he or see	opropriate English Commission on Indidates screened fedential with ISED Human INTERIOR AND THE SEND HUMAN ISED HUMAN INTERIOR AND THE SEND HUM	IS.						
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>ENDITURES</u>								
2017-18	Cradontialia		2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	Credentialing \$26,000		Amount			Amount			
Source	Title II Educator Effectiveness	Grant	Source			Source			

Budget Reference	5833			Budget Reference	Budget Reference					
		□ New	Modified		⊠ Unchanged					
Goal 3	}	School environment will	be safe and v	welcoming for all	students					
State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:			COE S	9 🗆 10	☑4 □5 □6 □7					
Identified Need			Se Puede ha Behavioral II We also see	In order to be ready to learn, students need to know they are in a safe environment. While Rocketship Si Se Puede has a strong foundation in positive behavioral practices, including implementation of the Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports framework, we believe it is critical to maintain these high standards. We also seek to eliminate bullying on our campus. 77% of students think that their school is a safe place to learn, but our suspension rate is almost 5%.						
EXPECTED ANNU	AL ME	EASURABLE OUTCOMES								
Metrics/Indicator	rs	Baseline		2017-18	2018-	19	2019-20			
3rd-5th grade stude believe school is a safe environment to learn		84%	86%							
Parents believe schis safe	nool	85%	87%							
Action 1										
For Actions/Servi	ces n	ot included as contributine	g to meeting th	he Increased or I	mproved Services Requ	irement:				
Students to be Served All Students with Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)]										

<u>Location(s)</u>	☐ All schools	nools Specific Schools:				Specific Grade spans:			
			OR						
For Actions/Services included as contril	buting to meeting	the Increase	d or Improv	ed Services Requ	irement:				
Students to be Served	⊠ English Learn	ners							
	Scope of S	Gervices		⊠ Schoolwide	OR	☐ Limited to	Unduplicated Student		
Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific	Schools:		_	ecific Grade sp	ans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICES									
2017-18		2018-19			2019-20				
☐ New ☐ Modified ☒ Unchanged		☐ New ☐	] Modified [	Unchanged	☐ New	☐ Modified	Unchanged		
C-1. Rocketship Si Se Puede employs a Bu Operations Manager to manage support sta the daily operations of the school including breakfast and lunch program, arrival and dis safety and cleanliness of all common space is critical to meeting RSSP's and the state's student safety and maintaining facilities.									
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES									
2017-18		2018-19			2019-20				
Amount \$103,125 BOM		Amount			Amount				
Source LCFF- S+C		Source			Source				
Budget Reference 2301		Budget Reference			Budget Referenc e				
Action <b>2</b> For Actions/Services not included as co	ontributing to mee	ting the Incre	ased or Imp	proved Services R	equiremer	nt:			

<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	⊠ AII	☐ Students wi	th Disabilities	s 🗆 [ <u>s</u>	Specific S	Student Group(s	)]		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Specific Schools: ☐ Specific Schools				pecific Grade spans:				
				OF	<b>२</b>					
For Actions/Servi	ces included as cont	ributing to	meeting the Inc	creased or I	mproved	Service	es Requiremen	it:		
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ English	Learners	☐ Foster Yo	uth [	_ Low I	ncome			
			Scope	of Services	☐ LEA Studen	wide t Group(	☐ Schoolwid	de OR Limited to Unduplicated		
	Location(s)	All sch	ools 🗌 Spe	ecific Schools	3:		s	pecific Grad	de spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>									
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged			☐ New	☐ Modifi	ed 🔲	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged
preventative mainted with state Office of tool. As a result, we ensure the school is students, families at Rocketship Si Se Pfurniture items. This classroom.  Our teachers are expersonalized to the	at school facilities are in enance. This includes a Public School Construce invest in necessary re s a safe and welcoming	annual inspection Facilitice pairs and urgenvironment of furniture to ag of safety in classroons such, we promote the classroons and the classroons are classroons.	ections aligned es Inspection pgrades to ent for  replace aging n the  ns unique and provide a							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>									
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20		
Amount	\$62,576 – Building Re \$11,930 – Furniture \$16,000 – Teacher Ro			Amount				Amount		

Source	LCFF- Base (Building LCFF-S+C (Furniture	•	Source		Source					
Budget Reference	5601 4430 4330		Budget Reference		Budget Reference					
Action 3										
For Actions/Serv	ices not included as	contributing to meeting	the Increased or Imp	proved Services Requ	irement:					
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served		s with Disabilities	Specific Student Grou	p(s)]					
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools:	pecific Schools: Specific Grade spans:						
			OR							
For Actions/Serv	ices included as cont	ributing to meeting the	e Increased or Improv	red Services Requiren	nent:					
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster Youth	☐ Low Income						
		Scope	of Services Student		e <b>O</b> R [	Limited to Unduplicated				
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools:							
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>									
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20					
☐ New ⊠ Modi	fied Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modifie	d Unchanged	□ New □ M	odified				
<b>Custodial Service</b>	sa i Cumplina									

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	\$76,826		Amount		Amount			
Source	5821		Source	Source				
Budget Reference	LCFF-base		Budget Reference		Budget Reference			
Action 4 For Actions/Service	ices not included as co	ntributing to meeting	the Increased or	Improved Services Requ	uirement:			
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Studen	nts with Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student Green	oup(s)]		_	
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Schools:		☐ Specific G	Grade spans:		
			OR					
For Actions/Serv	ices included as contri	outing to meeting the	Increased or Imp	roved Services Requirer	ment:			
	Students to be Served		☐ Foster Yout	h 🛛 Low Income				
		Scope o		A-wide Schoolwident Group(s)	e <b>OR</b>	Limited to	o Unduplicated	
	Location(s)	All schools	] Specific Schools:_		☐ Specific Grade spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVIC	CES							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modif	fied 🛚 Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Mo	dified Unchanged	☐ New ☐	Modified [	Unchanged	
operations we investigate as arrival, distributed as arrival as arrival. The succession of the s	ntinue to strengthen our set in staff to support daily missal, lunch and recess cant percentage of behave our staff during these trailedents are provided with a ghout the day. Students to come calmer and quieter t	v transition points . These transitions ior issues on campus. nsitions, the school a safe and welcoming with behavior support						

	ion during this time will e bursts that occur during							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	\$143,834 Support Staff	Compensation	Amount		Amount			
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source			
Budget Reference	2201		Budget Reference			Budget Reference		
Action 5								
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Student	s with Disabilities	Specific Student G	oup(s)]		-	
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Schools	<b>:</b>	☐ Specific G	Grade spans:		
			OR					
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contril	outing to meeting the	Increased or Im	proved Services Require	ment:			
	Students to be Served	□ English Learners	☐ Foster You	uth 🛛 Low Income				
		Scope of		EA-wide Schoolwident Group(s)	de <b>OR</b>	☐ Limited to	Unduplicated	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools	:	☐ Specific G	Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
⊠ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged		□ New □ M	odified	☐ New [	☐ Modified ☐	] Unchanged	
services for their ca	Puede will be able to hire ampus. These include pa	trols for weekends to						

				_						
		uthorized people on campus a safe, such as graffiti.	nd issues							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITL</u>	<u>JRES</u>								
2017-18				2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	\$7,00	00		Amount			Amount			
Source	LCFF	.CFF-S+C		Source			Source			
Budget Reference	5823	5823		Budget Reference			Budget Reference			
Goal 4	ļ.	Rocketship students will b	ecome se	elf-motivated, con	npetent,	and lifelong learr	ers and will d	evelop a deep love of learning.		
State and/or Local	Prioriti	es Addressed by this goal:	STATE	TATE □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 ⊠ 5 ⊠ 6 ⊠ 7 ⊠ 8						
			COE 9 10							
			LOCAL _	OCAL						
Rockets succee to ensu				order to close the achievement gap, Rocketeers must continue to value education beyond their time at ocketship. We recognize that our students must develop life-long academic skills in elementary school to cceed once they graduate from Rocketship. We see a need to increase our level of student engagement ensure that our students are invested in their education. We want our students to come to school every and enjoy it. Suspensions have been a problem at RSSP, with a suspension rate nearing 5% for the ar.						
EXPECTED ANNU	AL ME	EASURABLE OUTCOMES								
Metrics/Indicator	s	Baseline		2017-18		2018-	19	2019-20		
Chronic Absenteeis	sm	12.5%	<10%							
ADA		95.3%	96%							

Student suspension Rate	4.9%		3%						
Action 1									
For Actions/Services n	ot included as co	ntributing to me	eting the	Increased or Ir	mproved Services I	Requiremer	nt:		
Students to be Served All			Students wi	ith Disabilities	☐ [Specific Studer	nt Group(s)]			
Location(s)			☐ Spe	ecific Schools:_			ecific Grade	spans:	
				OR					
For Actions/Services in	ncluded as contrib	outing to meetin	g the Incr	eased or Impro	oved Services Req	uirement:			
Stude	ents to be Served	□ English Lea	rners	☐ Foster Youth		e			
		Scope of	Services	LEA-wide Group(s)	⊠ Schoolwide	OR	Limite	ed to Unduplicated Student	
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Spe	ecific Schools:_			ecific Grade	spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVICES									
2017-18			2018-19	9		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified [	Unchanged		☐ New	/ Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	☐ Modifie	ed	
Enrichment D-1. Enrichment is a critic education at Rocketship. standards, time spent in t students with fun and eng focus and commitment de Enrichment Center Coord opportunity to engage in gardening. The Coordina strengthening school cult provides an opportunity for talents that may not be in education setting.  Next year, RSSP will be of	In addition to aliging the Enrichment Ce gaging activities the uring core instruction in the physical education tors play a critical rure. Importantly, early students to except mediately appared	ning with CCSS nter provides at increase their on. The udents with the , art, and role in enrichment also el and show off nt in a general							
engineering to be more a									

# **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES**

2017-18			2018-19		20	019-20		
Amount	Enrichment Coordinat \$133,200	ors	Amount		Ar	mount		
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Sc	ource		
Budget Reference	2201		Budget Reference			udget eferenc		
Action 2								
For Actions/Service	ces not included as co	ontributing to meet	ing the Increas	sed or Improved	Services Requ	uirement:		
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Stud	dents with Disab	oilities [Spec	cific Student Gro	oup(s)]		_
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific Sc	hools:		☐ Specific Gra	ıde spans:	
				OR				
For Actions/Service	ces included as contri	buting to meeting	the Increased	or Improved Ser	vices Requiren	ment:		
<u>S</u>	Students to be Served		rs	er Youth 🔲 L	_ow Income			
		<u>\$</u>	Scope of Service	LEA-wide Student Grou		wide <b>OR</b>	Limite	ed to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific Sc	hools:		☐ Specific Gra	ıde spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u> </u>							
2017-18			2018-1	19		2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modifie	ed 🗵 Unchanged		☐ Ne	w Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	☐ Modified	Unchanged
students' learning a taken are science a integrate the learnin	vide an important oppor nd increase engageme nd/or social studies rela ng into their thematic un often attend field trips v	nt. Many of the field ited, enabling teach its back in the class	I trips ers to					

thereby increasing parental engagement as well. The cornerstone of our field trip experience is Fifth Grade Camp. Each year, Rocketship 5 <sup>th</sup> graders goes to Groveland, CA for one week of hiking, science lessons, outdoor exploration and camp fun. For many Rocketeers, this is one of their first experiences leaving home.  Next year, Principal Shinn will enact a "use it or lose it" policy for						
Next year, Principal Shinn will enact a "use it or lose it" policy for field trips to encourage teachers to use their full field trip budget.						
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	Field Trips \$33,000		Amount		Amount	
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	5860		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 3						
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	Increased or I	mproved Services Requ	irement:	
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	vith Disabilities	Specific Student Gro	up(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:_F	RSSP Spec	ific Grade span	S:
			OR			
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	reased or Impr	oved Services Requiren	nent:	
<u> </u>	Students to be Served		☐ Foster Youth			
		Scope o		LEA-wide ⊠ Schooled	vide <b>OR</b>	Limited to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:		Specific Grad	de spans:
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
☐ New ⊠ Modif	ied  Unchanged		□ New □ N	Modified  Unchanged	☐ New [	☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged

#### **Social Emotional Learning**

D-3. RSSP has been using Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) since the school opened. The fundamental purpose of PBIS is to create learning environments that are more consistent, predictable, positive, and safe, which helps our students develop their socio-emotional intelligence. One key component of PBIS is implementing a socio-emotional learning (SEL) curriculum. We implement the "Kimochi's" curriculum in the lower grades (pre-k through grade two), and the "RULER" approach in upper grades (third through fifth grades). The goal of these curricula is to help students identify, communicate, and regulate feelings, as well as develop appropriate social skills.

Students at RSSP have indicated that many of them feel bullied and this is a trend we've seen increasing over the past few years. We are working on implementing more bullying education for both parents and students to gain a strong understanding of what bullying is and how it can impact students.

Next year, RSSP will bring in Seneca Services for the first half of the school year to create a strong, positive culture and build skills for students with behavior issues. Licensed mental health clinicians from Seneca will provide supplemental direct and indirect services to support positive, prosocial behavior on campus. These services include social skills groups, professional development for teachers and school leaders, and consultative problem-solving for students experiencing behavioral and/or social-emotional challenges at school, including bullying.

# **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES**

2017-18		2018-19	2019-20
Amount	\$5,200 Instructional supplies \$7,000 Educational Consultants	Amount	Amount
Source	LCFF-S+C	Source	Source
Budget Reference	4340 5804	Budget Reference	Budget Reference

For Actions/Servi	For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:										
<u>S</u>	Students to be Served	☐ AII ☐ S	tudents w	rith Disabilit	ies 🗌	[Specific	c Student Group	o(s)]		_	
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Sp	ecific Scho	ols:_RSSI	)	Specif	cific Grade spans:			
	OR										
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:											
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served		☐ English Learners ☐ Foster Youth ☐ Low Income								
			Scope o	f Services	☐ LEA Student		Schoolwi	ide <b>OR</b>	Limit	ed to Unduplicated	
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Sp	ecific Scho	ols:			] Specific G	ade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>										
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20			
⊠ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged			☐ New	☐ Modif	ied 🗌	] Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	
Bullying Prevention RSSP will implement a large bullying prevention initiative. This will include bullying seminars for students, parents and staff, and bullying protocol for staff and teachers to know how to receive support at the beginning of the year. There will be refreshers every three months to keep skills and ideas fresh. Additionally, students will create posters to hang on the wall. The school will create a safe space and peer support groups. Students will receive support on how to grow up based on character development. Finally, we will integrate these initiatives into our after school YMCA programming so the skills are reinforced all day.											
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>										
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20			
Amount	\$11,000 Teacher and	SL Comp		Amount				Amount			
Source	LCFF-S+C			Source				Source			
Budget	1101 1301			Budget				Budget			

Reference			Reference		Reference				
Action 4									
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	Increased or Im	proved Services Requir	ement:				
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	ith Disabilities	Specific Student Grou	p(s)]		_		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:	Specific Gra	ade spans:				
OR									
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	reased or Impro	ved Services Requireme	ent:				
<u> </u>	Students to be Served		☐ Foster Youth	□ Low Income					
		Scope o		EA-wide 🔀 Schoolw ent Group(s)	ide <b>OR</b>	Limite	ed to Unduplicated		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:_R	SSP[	Specific Gra	ade spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20				
⊠ New ☐ Modif	ied		☐ New ☐ Mo	odified	☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged		
Principal Discretion Because the May Revise was less conservative that initially estimated, RSSP has a budget surplus. As a result, Principals will have funds to use at their discretion. Principals will need to align their spending to one of the five LCAP goals, and will need to consult with stakeholders prior to using their funds. The decisions will be reported on in next year's LCAP.									
BUDGETED EXPE									
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20				
Amount	\$30,000		Amount		Amount				
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source				

Budget
Reference

unknown Budget Reference

Budget Reference

# Goal 5

Rocketship parents are engaged in their students' education

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 COE | 9 | 10 LOCAL \_\_\_\_

**Identified Need** 

We seek to increase engagement among parents and students alike. We view parents at critical partners in our work of educating students. Rocketship Si Se Puede has a number of effective parent engagement strategies, including a sophisticated parent council structure, upon which we will continue to build.

#### **EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES**

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month	28%	30%		
Parents are satisfied overall with their school	85%	90%		
At least 1 event for month for parent engagement	Met	Met		

Action

1

For Actions/Services not included as co	ntributing	to meeting the Increased or Ir	mproved Services Requirement:	
Students to be Served	☐ AII	☐ Students with Disabilities	Specific Student Group(s)	

	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific Schools:			Specific Grade spans:		
				OR				
For Actions/Service	ces included as contrib	outing to meeting	the Increase	d or Improv	ved Services Requ	irement:		
Students to be Served			ers 🗌 Fo	ster Youth				
		Scope of S	Arvicae —	LEA-wide oup(s)	⊠ Schoolwide	OR	☐ Limited to	Unduplicated Student
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific	Schools:		_	cific Grade spa	ans:
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u> </u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed  Unchanged		☐ New ☐	] Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	☐ Unchanged
Parent involvement E-1. Community Events: RSSP hosts many special events during the year to engage parents and families. These events include community meetings, exhibition nights, and other school events. In order to support these efforts, RSSP invests in parent appreciation items and provides a materials budget.  Parents will receive specific training on bullying and how to support their students during the first community meeting of the year as a result of parent feedback from this year.  Parent Volunteer Opportunities: Rocketship Si Se Puede parents will be encouraged to volunteer at the schools to help tighten the link between the families and the school as well as assist RSSP teachers and staff with various school operations. These activities will vary widely but will include classroom assistance, translating documents, administrative assistance, and assisting in special school events.								
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	Parent Appreciation ar \$10,000	nd Materials	Amount			Amount		
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source		

Action 2							
For Actions/Services not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	e Increased or Im	proved Services Requir	ement:			
Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	vith Disabilities	Specific Student Grou	p(s)]			
Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:		Specific Grade spans	3:		
		OR					
For Actions/Services included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	creased or Improv	ved Services Requireme	ent:			
Students to be Served		☐ Foster Youth					
	Scope o	T SANICAC -	EA-wide Schoolw ent Group(s)	ide <b>OR</b> 🗌 L	imited to Unduplicated		
Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:		Specific Grade spans	S:		
ACTIONS/SERVICES	ACTIONS/SERVICES						
2017-18		2018-19		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Mo	odified  Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged		
Parent Outreach E-2. RSSP provides many opportunities throughout the school year for parents to interact with RSSP staff. The school offers monthly forums for parents to provide feedback as well as learn in depth about school activities. This enables parents to become a more active participant in their child's education.  This will start over the summer. The registration days before school, which have typically just been a day to turn in paperwork, will focus more on school culture and parental engagement so that parents have a better understanding of expectations for behavior, attendance and truancy before the school year starts.							

Budget Reference

(5822, 4510)

Budget Reference

**BUDGETED EXPENDITURES** 

Budget Referenc

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	Parent Outreach – Sch \$9,727	ool Leader Comp	Amount		Amount			
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source			
Budget Reference	1101		Budget Reference		Budget Reference			
Action 3								
For Actions/Serv	ices not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	Increased or Im	proved Services Requir	ement:			
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	rith Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student Group	o(s)]		-	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools:_R	SSP Specif	ic Grade spans	s:		
	OR							
For Actions/Serv	ices included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	reased or Impro	ved Services Requireme	ent:			
Students to be Served								
Scope of Services								
Location(s) All schools Spe		ecific Schools:		] Specific Grad	de spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modi	fied Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Mo	odified  Unchanged	☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged	
Office Manager E-3. Rocketship's Office Managers are the face of the school to students and families. Office Managers oversee much of the communication that goes directly to families and coordinate many parent engagement efforts, including parent volunteerism and community events. Office Managers are critical to our efforts to engage families in their children's learning and the school community.  Office Managers will be a part of a truancy task force aimed at reducing chronic absenteeism and increase ADA next year. This								

task force will focus on creating parent-school partnerships to	
ensure students are in school every day while educating parents on	
the implications of students missing school.	

# **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES**

2017-18		2018-19	2019-20	
Amount	\$79,875 Office Manager	Amount	Amount	
Source	LCFF-S+C	Source	Source	
Budget Reference	2401	Budget Reference	Budget Reference	

# **Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils**

LCAP Year	☑ 2017–18 ☐ 2018–19 ☐ 2019–20			
Estimated Supp	lemental and Concentration Grant Funds:	\$ 1,229,236	Percentage to Increase or Improve Services:	37.26 %

Describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage identified above, either qualitatively or quantitatively, as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year.

Identify each action/service being funded and provided on a schoolwide or LEA-wide basis. Include the required descriptions supporting each schoolwide or LEA-wide use of funds (see instructions).

Personalized Learning - RSSP's instructional model is built on the foundation of personalization. We believe that targeted small group instruction and 1:1 tutoring are the most effective ways to ensure that all students are moving towards proficiency. For our unduplicated population, and particularly our EL students, targeted small group instruction ensures that a student is able to receive specific language instruction and they are able to further develop their language proficiency through re-tells, explicit vocabulary lessons, and a small group focus on letters, word patterns, spelling, blends, sounds, etc. Our investment in personalized learning, through our Learning Labs, which include adaptive online learning programs, technology and leveled libraries, makes state-of-the-art instructional tools available to our students who need it most. In addition, during small group guided reading time, staff will provide an EL center, which will be focused on specific language activities (picture cards, writing, vocabulary development, etc.) that are targeted to specific categories of students based on level of progress.

PD/Coaching - All students benefit from highly trained and highly motivated teachers. RSSP invests heavily in teacher professional development through extensive summer PD. Additionally, over 200 hours during the school year will be devoted to PD through Thursday minimum days. All students, including unduplicated students, benefit from this investment. Further, these professional development opportunities are geared towards content most relevant to our unduplicated population, including Spanish language immersion, teaching in a special education inclusion model, and advanced EL instruction. The costs for this additional PD time is covered by supplemental and concentration funds. Because of Rocketship's unique model, we invest in ensuring that our teachers are comfortable with the rotational model as well as effectively teaching a high percentage of unduplicated students. Principals and Assistant Principals provide customized, targeted one-on-one coaching to each teacher. This is typically about three hours per week to support our newest teachers and less as staff become more comfortable. This particularly benefits our unduplicated students who need unique attention and specialized teaching methods.

Assessments - The commitment to data-driven instruction at RSSP, through continual assessment and teacher data training, ensures that our efforts in the Learning Lab and small group instruction are effective. By collecting and analyzing data, we are able to determine in which areas our students are excelling and in which areas they need additional help.

**BOM** - The BOM oversees the daily operations of the school and oversees key processes such as breakfast, lunch, arrival and dismissal. As such, the BOM interacts with all students and families at the school and therefore plays a critical role in setting and upholding the culture of the school. The BOM is also responsible for maintaining the safety and positive culture of all common spaces. All students, including unduplicated students, benefit from a school environment that is safe, welcoming, and efficiently run.

**Support Staff** - We know that our unduplicated population, and particularly our socio-economically disadvantaged students, can benefit from a high level of engagement with positive adult relationships throughout their school day. This investment in additional support staff will ensure that during critical transition points such as arrival, dismissal, lunch and recess, our unduplicated population is supported by adults who are ensuring they are provided with a safe and welcoming environment throughout the day.

**ECCs** - Enrichment is a critical component of our unduplicated students' education. In addition to aligning with CCSS standards, time spent in the Enrichment Center provides students with fun and engaging activities that increase their focus and commitment during core instruction. The Enrichment Center Coordinators provide students with the opportunity to engage in physical education, art, and various other enrichment activities that our unduplicated students may not otherwise be able to access. The Coordinators play a critical role in strengthening school culture. RSSP will bring in outside consultants, such as gardening, to teach students skills beyond the areas of expertise of the Enrichment Center Coordinators.

**Field Trips** - Field trips provide an important opportunity to both deepen students' learning and increase engagement. Many of the field trips taken will be science and/or social studies related, enabling teachers to integrate the learning into their thematic units back in the classroom. In addition, parents often attend field trips with their students, thereby increasing parental engagement as well. Importantly, field trips provide real-life experiences that our unduplicated students may not otherwise experience, enriching their education and creating engaging learning opportunities. Our fifth grade students will attend a week-long camp near Yosemite National Park, exposing many of our unduplicated students to nature for the first time.

**SEL** - Our EL, Foster Youth and income students have unique social emotional needs, in addition to academic needs. We prioritize social-emotional learning for these students to understand how to identify feelings, how their feelings impact other people and how to act accordingly.

Parent Appreciation/Outreach - Many of our parents, particularly EL parents, have never volunteered in a school before and may initially feel uncomfortable doing so. We know that students do best in school when their parents are engaged, so we invest resources to make sure our parents feel comfortable on campus and have ample resources to volunteer and be involved in school in way that is meaningful to them.

Office Manager - Our Office Manager is often the first point of contact at the school for many families and helps administer important paperwork such as the Home Language Survey, FRL applications and provides resources to Immigrant families. Each of these helps us to identify our unduplicated students and provide services accordingly.

**Teacher Room** - Our teachers are encouraged to make their classrooms unique and personalized to them and their classes. As such, we provide a teacher room stipend to each teacher to procure such materials and supplies. This helps our unduplicated students, in particular, because it helps them feel welcome and safe in the classroom.

**Furniture** - Students need a safe, clean, and welcoming school environment that functions well so that staff's energy is put towards providing an excellent education and not towards mitigating challenges with the facility. By making strategic investments to replace aging furniture, invest in upgrades, our unduplicated students will enjoy a school that runs smoothly and efficiently and preserves time for instruction.

**Printing & Reproduction** - Our printing and reproduction budget allows for teachers to make copies of materials so that all students have access to them. This includes copying passages of books so that each student has a copy and can mark up her or his own version. This particularly helps our EL students so that they can better grasp the complexities of English

**Security** - Since we purposefully locate our school in areas of high-need, the immediate area is not always the safest. While we hope that the presence of a Rocketship school eventually helps the neighborhood become better, this is not always the case. As such, our school has budget to hire security services, such as weekend and evening patrols to keep the campus safe.

# Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Instructions

# **Addendum**

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template documents and communicates local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support student outcomes and overall performance. The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required. Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each student group identified by the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) (ethnic, socioeconomically disadvantaged, English learners, foster youth, pupils with disabilities, and homeless youth), for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF student group funded through the county office of education (students attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or expelled under certain conditions) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services funded by a school district that are provided to students attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in Education Code (EC) sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

Charter schools must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF subgroup of students including students with disabilities and homeless youth, for each of the state priorities that apply for the grade levels served or the nature of the program operated by the charter school, and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the EC. Changes in LCAP goals and actions/services for charter schools that result from the annual update process do not necessarily constitute a material revision to the school's charter petition.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

#### Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

Plan Summary
Annual Update
Stakeholder Engagement
Goals, Actions, and Services

Planned Actions/Services

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local county office of education, or the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office at: 916-319-0809 or by email at: lcff@cde.ca.gov.

# **Plan Summary**

The LCAP is intended to reflect an LEA's annual goals, actions, services and expenditures within a fixed three-year planning cycle. LEAs must include a plan summary for the LCAP each year.

When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and address the prompts provided in these sections. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous summary information with information relevant to the current year LCAP.

In this section, briefly address the prompts provided. These prompts are not limits. LEAs may include information regarding local program(s), community demographics, and the overall vision of the LEA. LEAs may also attach documents (e.g., the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics data reports) if desired and/or include charts illustrating goals, planned outcomes, actual outcomes, or related planned and actual expenditures.

An LEA may use an alternative format for the plan summary as long as it includes the information specified in each prompt and the budget summary table.

The reference to LCFF Evaluation Rubrics means the evaluation rubrics adopted by the State Board of Education under *EC* Section 52064.5.

#### **Budget Summary**

The LEA must complete the LCAP Budget Summary table as follows:

- Total LEA General Fund Budget Expenditures for the LCAP Year: This amount is the LEA's total budgeted General Fund expenditures for the LCAP year. The LCAP year means the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or updated by July 1. The General Fund is the main operating fund of the LEA and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. All activities are reported in the General Fund unless there is a compelling reason to account for an activity in another fund. For further information please refer to the California School Accounting Manual (<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/</a>). (Note: For some charter schools that follow governmental fund accounting, this amount is the total budgeted expenditures in the Charter Schools Special Revenue Fund. For charter schools that follow the not-for-profit accounting model, this amount is total budgeted expenses, such as those budgeted in the Charter Schools Enterprise Fund.)
- Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for the LCAP Year:
   This amount is the total of the budgeted expenditures associated with the actions/services included for the LCAP year from all sources of funds, as reflected in the LCAP. To the extent actions/services and/or expenditures are listed in the LCAP under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.
- Description of any use(s) of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year
  not included in the LCAP: Briefly describe expenditures included in total General Fund Expenditures that
  are not included in the total funds budgeted for planned actions/services for the LCAP year. (Note: The total
  funds budgeted for planned actions/services may include funds other than general fund expenditures.)

Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year: This amount is the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA
estimates it will receive pursuant to EC sections 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools) and 2574
(for county offices of education), as implemented by EC sections 42238.03 and 2575 for the LCAP year
respectively.

# **Annual Update**

The planned goals, expected outcomes, actions/services, and budgeted expenditures must be copied verbatim from the previous year's\* approved LCAP. Minor typographical errors may be corrected.

\* For example, for LCAP year 2017/18 of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP, review the goals in the 2016/17 LCAP. Moving forward, review the goals from the most recent LCAP year. For example, LCAP year 2020/21 will review goals from the 2019/20 LCAP year, which is the last year of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP.

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

For each goal in the prior year, identify and review the actual measurable outcomes as compared to the expected annual measurable outcomes identified in the prior year for the goal.

## **Actions/Services**

Identify the planned Actions/Services and the budgeted expenditures to implement these actions toward achieving the described goal. Identify the **actual** actions/services implemented to meet the described goal and the estimated actual annual expenditures to implement the actions/services. As applicable, identify any changes to the students or student groups served, or to the planned location of the actions/services provided.

## **Analysis**

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, analyze whether the planned actions/services were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal. Include a
  discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

#### **Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, students, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. *EC* identifies the minimum consultation requirements for school districts and county offices of education as consulting with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the school district, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. *EC* requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. In addition, *EC* Section 48985 specifies the requirements for the translation of notices, reports, statements, or records sent to a parent or guardian.

The LCAP should be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet specific goals.

**Instructions:** The stakeholder engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. The requirements for this section are the same for each year of a three-year LCAP. When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the LCAP and Annual Update. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous stakeholder narrative(s) and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the current year LCAP and Annual Update.

**School districts and county offices of education:** Describe the process used to consult with the Parent Advisory Committee, the English Learner Parent Advisory Committee, parents, students, school personnel, the LEA's local bargaining units, and the community to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

**Charter schools:** Describe the process used to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Describe how the consultation process impacted the development of the LCAP and annual update for the indicated LCAP year, including the goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

## Goals, Actions, and Services

LEAs must include a description of the annual goals, for all students and each LCFF identified group of students, to be achieved for each state priority as applicable to type of LEA. An LEA may also include additional local priorities. This section shall also include a description of the specific planned actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

**School districts and county offices of education:** The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required.

**Charter schools:** The number of years addressed in the LCAP may align with the term of the charter schools budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

# New, Modified, Unchanged

As part of the LCAP development process, which includes the annual update and stakeholder engagement, indicate if the goal, identified need, related state and/or local priorities, and/or expected annual measurable outcomes for the current LCAP year or future LCAP years are modified or unchanged from the previous year's LCAP; or, specify if the goal is new.

#### Goal

State the goal. LEAs may number the goals using the "Goal #" box for ease of reference. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all actions/services are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the LEA seeking to achieve?

#### **Related State and/or Local Priorities**

Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as applicable to the type of LEA, and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. (<u>Link to State Priorities</u>)

#### **Identified Need**

Describe the needs that led to establishing the goal. The identified needs may be based on quantitative or qualitative information, including, but not limited to, results of the annual update process or performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

### **Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) or indicator(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data as reported in the annual update of the LCAP year immediately preceding the three-year plan, as applicable. The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP. In the subsequent year columns, identify the progress to be made in each year of the three-year cycle of the LCAP. Consider how expected outcomes in any given year are related to the expected outcomes for subsequent years.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, but at minimum an LEA must use the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. For the student engagement priority metrics, as applicable, LEAs must calculate the rates as described in the <a href="LCAP Template Appendix, sections">LCAP Template Appendix, sections</a> (a) through (d).

# **Planned Actions/Services**

For each action/service, the LEA must complete either the section "For Actions/Services not contributing to meeting Increased or Improved Services Requirement" or the section "For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement." The LEA shall not complete both sections for a single action.

## For Actions/Services Not Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement

# **Students to be Served**

The "Students to be Served" box is to be completed for all actions/services except for those which are included by the LEA as contributing to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated students. Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the actions/services by checking "All", "Students with Disabilities", or "Specific Student Group(s)". If "Specific Student Group(s)" is checked, identify the specific student group(s) as appropriate.

# Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

**Charter schools** operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

# For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:

# **Students to be Served**

For any action/service contributing to the LEA's overall demonstration that it has increased or improved services for unduplicated students above what is provided to all students (see <u>Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students</u> section, below), the LEA must identify the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

# **Scope of Service**

For each action/service contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, identify scope of service by indicating "LEA-wide", "Schoolwide", or "Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)". The LEA must select one of the following three options:

- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of the LEA, place a check mark next to "LEA-wide."
- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of a particular school or schools, place a check mark next to "schoolwide".
- If the action/service being funded and provided is limited to the unduplicated students identified in "Students to be Served", place a check mark next to "Limited to Student Groups".

For charter schools and single-school school districts, "LEA-wide" and "Schoolwide" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. For charter schools operating multiple schools (determined by a unique CDS code) under a single charter, use "LEA-wide" to refer to all schools under the charter and use "Schoolwide" to refer to a single school authorized within the same charter petition. Charter schools operating a single school may use "LEA-wide" or "Schoolwide" provided these terms are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

## Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

**Charter schools** operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

#### **Actions/Services**

For each LCAP year, identify the actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions and services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. LEAs may number the action/service using the "Action #" box for ease of reference.

#### New/Modified/Unchanged:

- Check "New" if the action/service is being added in any of the three years of the LCAP to meet the
  articulated goal.
- Check "Modified" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
- Check "Unchanged" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has not been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
  - o If a planned action/service is anticipated to remain unchanged for the duration of the plan, an LEA may check "Unchanged" and leave the subsequent year columns blank rather than having to copy/paste the action/service into the subsequent year columns. Budgeted expenditures may be treated in the same way as applicable.

**Note:** The goal from the prior year may or may not be included in the current three-year LCAP. For example, when developing year 1 of the LCAP, the goals articulated in year 3 of the preceding three-year LCAP will be from the prior year.

**Charter schools** may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer. Accordingly, a charter school submitting a one-year budget to its authorizer may choose not to complete the year 2 and year 3 portions of the "Goals, Actions, and Services" section of the template. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

#### **Budgeted Expenditures**

For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by *EC* sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Expenditures that are included more than once in an LCAP must be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and action/service where the expenditure first appears in the LCAP.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, and chooses to complete a single LCAP, the LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted expenditures are aligned.

# **Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students**

This section must be completed for each LCAP year. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, copy the "Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students" table and mark the appropriate LCAP year. Using the copy of the table, complete the table as required for the current year LCAP. Retain all prior year tables for this section for each of the three years within the LCAP.

## **Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds**

Identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students as determined pursuant to *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 (5 *CCR*) Section 15496(a)(5).

# Percentage to Increase or Improve Services

Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 *CCR* Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. This description must address how the action(s)/service(s) limited for one or more unduplicated student group(s), and any schoolwide or districtwide action(s)/service(s) supported by the appropriate description, taken together, result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils.

If the overall increased or improved services include any actions/services being funded and provided on a schoolwide or districtwide basis, identify each action/service and include the required descriptions supporting each action/service as follows.

For those services being provided on an LEA-wide basis:

- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55% or more, and for charter schools and county offices of education: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55%: Describe how these services are
  principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local
  priorities. Also describe how the services are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its
  unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting
  research, experience or educational theory.

For school districts only, identify in the description those services being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis:

- For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and how the services are **the most effective use of the funds to** meet its goals for English learners, low income students and foster youth, in the state and any local priorities.

# **State Priorities**

#### Priority 1: Basic Services addresses the degree to which:

- A. Teachers in the LEA are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching:
- B. Pupils in the school district have sufficient access to the standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- C. School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## Priority 2: Implementation of State Standards addresses:

- A. The implementation of state board adopted academic content and performance standards for all students, which are:
  - a. English Language Arts Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English Language Arts
  - b. Mathematics CCSS for Mathematics
  - c. English Language Development (ELD)
  - d. Career Technical Education
  - e. Health Education Content Standards
  - f. History-Social Science
  - g. Model School Library Standards
  - h. Physical Education Model Content Standards
  - i. Next Generation Science Standards
  - j. Visual and Performing Arts
  - k. World Language; and
- B. How the programs and services will enable English learners to access the CCSS and the ELD standards for purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English language proficiency.

#### Priority 3: Parental Involvement addresses:

- A. The efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each individual school site:
- B. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for unduplicated pupils; and
- C. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for individuals with exceptional needs.

# Priority 4: Pupil Achievement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Statewide assessments:
- B. The Academic Performance Index:
- C. The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) entrance requirements, or programs of study that align with state board approved career technical educational standards and framework;
- D. The percentage of English learner pupils who make progress toward English proficiency as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT);
- E. The English learner reclassification rate;
- F. The percentage of pupils who have passed an advanced placement examination with a score of 3 or higher; and
- G. The percentage of pupils who participate in, and demonstrate college preparedness pursuant to, the Early Assessment Program, or any subsequent assessment of college preparedness.

# Priority 5: Pupil Engagement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. School attendance rates;
- B. Chronic absenteeism rates:
- C. Middle school dropout rates;
- D. High school dropout rates; and
- E. High school graduation rates:

#### **Priority 6: School Climate** as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Pupil suspension rates;
- B. Pupil expulsion rates; and
- C. Other local measures, including surveys of pupils, parents, and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.

# Priority 7: Course Access addresses the extent to which pupils have access to and are enrolled in:

- A. S broad course of study including courses described under EC sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable;
- B. Programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils; and
- C. Programs and services developed and provided to individuals with exceptional needs.

**Priority 8: Pupil Outcomes** addresses pupil outcomes, if available, for courses described under *EC* sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable.

**Priority 9: Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (COE Only)** addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate instruction of expelled pupils.

**Priority 10. Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (COE Only)** addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate services for foster children, including:

- A. Working with the county child welfare agency to minimize changes in school placement
- B. Providing education-related information to the county child welfare agency to assist in the delivery of services to foster children, including educational status and progress information that is required to be included in court reports;
- C. Responding to requests from the juvenile court for information and working with the juvenile court to ensure the delivery and coordination of necessary educational services; and
- D. Establishing a mechanism for the efficient expeditious transfer of health and education records and the health and education passport.

#### Local Priorities address:

- A. Local priority goals; and
- B. Methods for measuring progress toward local goals.

# **APPENDIX A: PRIORITIES 5 AND 6 RATE CALCULATION INSTRUCTIONS**

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under *EC* sections 52060 and 52066, as applicable to type of LEA, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in 5 CCR Section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

- (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
- (3) Divide (1) by (2).

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, *Education Code*. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.6, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060, 52061, 52062, 52063, 52064, 52066, 52067, 52068, 52069, 52070, 52070.5, and 64001,; 20 U.S.C. Sections 6312 and 6314.

# **APPENDIX B: GUIDING QUESTIONS**

#### **Guiding Questions: Annual Review and Analysis**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

#### **Guiding Questions: Stakeholder Engagement**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in EC Section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to *EC* sections 52062, 52068, or 47606.5, as applicable, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in *EC* Section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR Section 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

#### **Guiding Questions: Goals, Actions, and Services**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning": Basic Services (Priority 1), the Implementation of State Standards (Priority 2), and Course Access (Priority 7)?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes": Pupil Achievement (Priority 4), Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8), Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (Priority 9 COE Only), and Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (Priority 10 COE Only)?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement": Parental Involvement (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement (Priority 5), and School Climate (Priority 6)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in *EC* Section 42238.01 and groups as defined in *EC* Section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in EC Section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Prepared by the California Department of Education, October 2016